

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/990,439 11/16/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
		Avi J. Ashkenazi	P2730P1C52			
35489 75	90 11/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HELLER EHI	RMAN WHITE & MCAI	MURPHY,	MURPHY, JOSEPH F			
MENLO PARK, CO 94025-3506			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1646			

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 1 11		Augliocet/a)			
Office Action Summary		Application			Applicant(s)		
		09/990,439	•	ASHKENAZI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Joseph F M	· ·	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut d will apply and will ute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to action to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>August 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is no	n-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 126,129-131,135-145 and 1119 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 124-126, 129-131, 135-138 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 119-123 and 139-145 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		u				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: <u>08192004</u> .	ite	O-152)		

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Formal Matters

Claims 119-126, 129-131, 135-145 are pending and under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted 8/19/2004 has been considered, and an initialed copy is attached.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed 8/19/2004 have been fully considered but they are persuasive in part.

The objections to the Specification for a non-descriptive title and use of embedded hyperlinks, have been obviated by Applicant's amendment and are thus withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 124-126, 129-131, 135-138 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement has been obviated by Applicant's amendment and is thus withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 124-126, 129-131, 135-138 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking written description has been obviated by Applicant's amendment and is thus withdrawn.

The rejection of pending claims 119-122, 132-138 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sehra et al. (2001), has been obviated by the priority showing and is thus withdrawn.

Remaining issues are set forth below.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 119-123 stand rejected, and new claims 139-145 are rejected, under 35

U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, which is enabling for a nucleic acid encoding a full length PRO830 protein of SEQ ID NO: 175, or a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 174, does not reasonably provide enablement for a nucleic acid at least 80-99% identical to SEQ ID NO: 174, or a nucleic acid at least 80-99% identical to a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO: 175, or a nucleic acid of at least 20-100 nucleotides in length which hybridizes to SEQ ID NO: 174, for reasons of record set forth on in Office Action of 4/6/2004 The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The rejection of record set forth that claims 119-123, 139-145 are overly broad since insufficient guidance is provided as to which of the myriad of nucleic acids encode variant polypeptides which will retain the characteristics of PRO830. However, Applicants do not disclose any actual or prophetic examples on expected performance parameters of any of the possible muteins of PRO830. It is known in the art that even single amino acid changes or differences in the amino acid sequence of a protein can have dramatic effects on the protein's function. For example, As an example of the unpredictable effects of mutations on protein function, Mickle et al. teaches that cystic fibrosis is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by abnormal function of a chloride channel, referred to as the cystic fibrosis transmembrane

Art Unit: 1646

conductance regulator (CFTR) (page 597). Several mutations can cause CF, including the G551D mutation. In this mutation a glycine replaces the aspartic acid at position 551, giving rise to the CF phenotype. In the most common CF mutation, delta-F508, a single phenylalanine is deleted at position 508, giving ride to the CF phenotype. Thus showing that even the substitution or deletion of a single amino acid in the entire 1480 amino acid CFTR protein sequence can have dramatic and unpredictable effects on the function of the protein. Additionally, it is known in the art that even a single amino acid change in a protein's sequence can drastically affect the structure of the protein and the architecture of an entire cell. For example, Voet et al. (1990) teaches that a single Glu to Val substitution in the beta subunit of hemoglobin causes the hemoglobin molecules to associate with one another in such a manner that, in homozygous individuals, erythrocytes are altered from their normal discoid shape and assume the sickle shape characteristic of sickle-cell anemia, causing hemolytic anemia and blood flow blockages (pages 126-128, section 6-3A and page 230, column 2, first paragraph). Additionally, Yan et al. teaches that in certain cases, a change of two-amino acid residues in a protein results in switching the binding of the protein from one receptor to another (Yan et al., Two-amino acid molecular switch in an epithelial morphogen that regulates binding to two distinct receptors. Science 290: 523-527, 2000). Since the claims encompass nucleic acids encoding variant polypeptides and given the art recognized unpredictability of the effect of mutations on protein function, it would require undue experimentation to make and use the claimed invention. See In re Wands, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPO2d at 1404. The test of enablement is not whether any experimentation is necessary, but whether, if experimentation is necessary, it is undue. While the Specification discloses that the encoded polypeptide functions in the chondrocyte re-differentiation assay, the

Art Unit: 1646

claims do not set forth a functional limitation for the nucleic acids encoding the variant polypeptides and since the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide determines its structural and functional properties, and the predictability of which amino acids can be substituted is extremely complex and outside the realm of routine experimentation, because accurate predictions of a polypeptide's structure from mere sequence data are limited. Since detailed information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the polynucleotide and the encoded polypeptide are lacking, it is unpredictable as to which variations, if any, meet the limitations of the claims.

Applicant has added the limitation wherein the nucleic acid is amplified in lung tumors, and argues that based on the detailed description of the cloning and expression of nucleic acid variants of PRO830 in the specification, the description of the gene amplification assay and description of testing the ability of test variant polypeptides in the assay, the actual reduction to practice of sequence SEQ ID NO: 175 and the functional recitation in the instant claims, Applicants submit that one of skilled in the art would know how to make and use the claimed variants, as a lung tumor marker. However, the Specification only shows that the full-length nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 174 will serve as a marker for lung cancer tumors, while the claims encompass variant nucleic acids. There are a large number of nucleic acid species encompassed by the claims, however, the Specification does not disclose the critical nucleic acid residues necessary to maintain the function of detecting lung tumors. The specification does not disclose the correlation between the structure (sequence) of the encompassed nucleic acids and the function of detecting lung tumors. The nucleic acid sequence determines its structural and functional properties, and predictability of which nucleic acids can be substituted is extremely

Art Unit: 1646

complex and well outside the realm of routine experimentation, because accurate predictions of a polypeptide's structure from mere sequence data are limited. Since detailed information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the nucleic acids are lacking, it is unpredictable as to which variations, if any, meet the limitations of the claims. Therefore it would require undue experimentation by one of skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed without further guidance from the instant specification.

Claims 119-123 stand rejected, and new claims 139-145 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, for reasons of record set forth in the Office Action of 4/6/2004. Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

The rejection of record set forth that the claims are drawn to nucleic acids at least 80-99% identical to SEQ ID NO: 174, or nucleic acids at least 80-99% identical to a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO: 175, or a nucleic acid of at least 20-100 nucleotides in length which hybridizes to SEQ ID NO: 174 and are thus genus claims. The specification and claim do not indicate what distinguishing attributes shared by the members of the genus. The specification and claims do not place any limit on the number of amino acid substitutions, deletions, insertions and/or additions that may be made to the encoded PRO830 variants. Thus, the scope of the claim includes numerous structural variants, and the genus is highly variant because a significant

Art Unit: 1646

number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. The specification and claim do not provide any guidance as to what changes should be made. Structural features that could distinguish compounds in the genus from others in the nucleic acid class are missing from the disclosure. No common structural attributes identify the members of the genus. The general knowledge and level of skill in the art do not supplement the omitted description because specific, not general, guidance is what is needed. Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or characteristics that identify members of the genus, and because the genus is highly variant, SEQ ID NO: 174 encoding SEQ ID NO: 175 is insufficient to describe the genus. The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. In the instant case, the specification fails to provide sufficient descriptive information, such as definitive structural or functional features of the genus of polynucleotides. There is no description of the conserved regions which are critical to the structure and function of the genus claimed. There is no description of the sites at which variability may be tolerated and there is no information regarding the relation of structure to function. Furthermore, the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings sufficient to enable one of skill to isolate and identify the polynucleotides and polypeptides encompassed. Thus, no identifying characteristics or properties of the instant polypeptides are provided such that one of skill would

Art Unit: 1646

be able to predictably identify the encompassed molecules as being identical to those instantly claimed. One of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus. Thus, applicant was not in possession of the claimed genus.

Applicant has added the limitation wherein the nucleic acid is amplified in lung tumors, and argues that based on the detailed description of the cloning and expression of variants of PRO830 in the specification, the description of the gene amplification assay and description of testing the ability of test variant polypeptides in the assay, the actual reduction to practice of sequences SEQ ID NO: 174 and the functional recitation in the instant claims, Applicants submit that one of skilled in the art would know that Applicants possessed the invention as claimed in the instant claims.

However, the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. In the instant case, the specification fails to provide sufficient descriptive information, such as functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function of the claimed genus of polynucleotides. There is no description of the conserved regions which are critical to the structure and function of the genus claimed. There is no description of the sites at which variability may be tolerated and there is no information

Art Unit: 1646

regarding the relation of structure to function. Furthermore, the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings sufficient to enable one of skill to isolate and identify the polynucleotides encompassed which have the claimed function. Thus, no identifying characteristics or properties of the instant polynucleotides are provided such that one of skill would be able to predictably identify the encompassed molecules as being identical to those instantly claimed.

Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or characteristics that identify members of the genus, the disclosure of SEQ ID NO: 174 is insufficient to describe the genus.

One of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus as broadly claimed.

Conclusion

Claims 119-123, 139-145 are rejected.

Claims 124-126, 129-131, 135-138 are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1646

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message

may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-

0961.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

October 20, 2004

JOSEPH MURPHY